## A Sharp Bound on the Two Variable Power Mean

Thomas J. Mildorf \*

Among other things, the familiar power mean satisfies the well known relation

$$\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} \le \sqrt[k]{\frac{a_1^k + \dots + a_n^k}{n}}$$

for the arbitrary sequence of positive reals  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  and any positive real  $k \ge 1$ . This inequality serves as a useful tool that can eliminate unwieldy radicals for our efforts to verify other inequalities. This it does by bounding them *below*. However, a problem arises should we require an upper bound of such a radical. The following result is meant to help address that concern.

**Proposition 1** Let a and b be positive reals and let  $k \ge -1$  be an integer. Then

$$\frac{(1+k)(a-b)^2 + 8ab}{4(a+b)} \ge \left(\frac{a^k + b^k}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad (*)$$

with equality where a = b or  $k = \pm 1$ , and where for k = 0 we interpret the right-hand side as  $\sqrt{ab}$ . Moreover, the inequality also holds for any real number  $k \geq 2$ . Furthermore, if  $1 \leq k \leq 3/2$  or  $k \leq -1$ , then it holds in the reverse direction.

**Proof.** For 
$$k = 1$$
,

$$\frac{(1+1)(a-b)^2+8ab}{4(a+b)} = \frac{2a^2+4ab+2b^2}{4(a+b)} = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

For k = -1,

$$\frac{(1-1)(a-b)^2 + 8ab}{4(a+b)} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{a}} = \left(\frac{a^{-1} + b^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1}$$

For k = 0,

$$\frac{a^2 + 6ab + b^2}{4(a+b)} \geq \sqrt{ab}$$

$$a^2 + 6ab + b^2 \geq 4a^{\frac{3}{2}}b^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4a^{\frac{1}{2}}b^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$a^2 - 4a^{\frac{3}{2}}b^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6ab - 4a^{\frac{1}{2}}b^{\frac{3}{2}} + b^2 \geq 0$$

$$(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^4 \geq 0$$

\*The author wishes to thank Fedor Nazarov and Daniel W. Stroock for their help in inspiring this note.

Now define  $f_p(a,b) = \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . The conclusion of the proof of proposition 1 hinges on the pursuant lemma.

**Lemma 1** The two variable power mean  $f_p(a, b)$  is concave in p for  $p \ge 1$  and convex in p for  $p \le -1$ . That is,  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} [f_p(a, b)] \le 0$  for  $p \ge 1$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} [f_p(a, b)] \ge 0$  for  $p \le -1$ , with equality if and only if a = b.

We first establish the claim for p = 1. Observe that  $f_p(a, b) = \frac{1}{r} \cdot f_p(ar, br)$ . Thus, we may take b = 1. We consider the function F(a) given by

$$F(a) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left[ f_p(a,1) \right] \Big|_{p=1} = \ln\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) \right]^2 + a \ln\left(\frac{a+1}{2a}\right) + \frac{a}{2} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{a+1}{2a}\right) \right]^2$$

In showing that  $F(a) \leq 0$  for a > 0, the following will be of interest:

$$F_{1}(a) := \frac{d}{da} \left[ F(a) \right] = \frac{2 \ln \left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) + \ln \left(\frac{a+1}{2a}\right) \left(2a + (a+1) \ln \left(\frac{a+1}{2a}\right)\right)}{2(a+1)}$$

$$F_{2}(a) := a(a+1)^{2} \cdot \frac{d}{da} \left[ F_{1}(a) \right] = a \ln \left(\frac{2}{a+1}\right) + \ln \left(\frac{2a}{a+1}\right)$$

$$F_{3}(a) := \frac{d}{da} \left[ F_{2}(a) \right] = -1 + \frac{1}{a} + \ln \left(\frac{2}{1+a}\right)$$

Clearly, F(1) = 0. Suppose that  $F(b_0) = 0$  for some positive real  $b_0$  other than 1. Then, since F(a) is differentiable with respect to a on the positive reals, by Rolle's theorem it must be that for some  $b_1$  strictly between  $b_0$  and 1 we have  $F_1(b_1) = 0$ . But  $F_1(1) = 0$ , and since  $F_1(a)$  is also differentiable, it must be that for some  $b_2$  strictly between  $b_1$  and 1 we have  $\frac{d}{da} [F_1(a)]|_{a=b_2} = 0$ , implying that  $F_2(b_2) = 0$ . Once more by the same idea, there must exist some  $b_3$ strictly between  $b_2$  and 1 for which  $F_3(b_3) = 0$ . But  $F_3(a)$  is a strictly decreasing function of a, so it has at most one positive real root. By inspection, that root is a = 1, and so  $b_3$  cannot exist. Therefore, our assumption that  $b_0$  exists was false. It follows that a = 1 is the unique positive zero of F(a). Now we compute

$$\lim_{a \to 0^+} F(a) = \ln(1/2) + 1/2 \cdot \left[\ln(1/2)\right]^2 = \ln(1/2) \left(1 + (1/2)\ln(1/2)\right) < 0$$
  
$$F(2e-1) = (2e-1)\ln\left(\frac{e}{2e-1}\right) \left(1 + (1/2)\ln\left(\frac{e}{2e-1}\right)\right) < 0$$

Therefore, F(a) assumes negative values for 0 < a < 1 and a > 1. Since F(a) is continuous, it follows from the intermediate value theorem that it is nowhere positive. Furthermore, equality holds precisely when a = 1, which corresponds directly to a = b, as desired.

Now we are ready to generalize p. Observe that  $f_{\theta p}(a, b) = f_{\theta}(a^p, b^p)^{1/p}$ . Thus, taking  $p \ge 1$  fixed and writing  $q = \theta p$ , we have

$$p^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial q^{2}} \left[ f_{q}(a,b) \right] \Big|_{q=p} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \left[ f_{\theta}(a^{p},b^{p})^{1/p} \right] \Big|_{\theta=1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) f_{\theta}(a^{p},b^{p})^{\frac{1}{p}-2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ f_{\theta}(a^{p},b^{p}) \right] \right)^{2} \Big|_{\theta=1} + \frac{1}{p} f_{\theta}(a^{p},b^{p})^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \left[ f_{\theta}(a^{p},b^{p}) \right] \Big|_{\theta=1}$$

MATHEMATICAL REFLECTIONS 2, (2006)

Clearly this is nonpositive. Moreover, if it is zero, then the second term must also be zero, implying that a = b. Yet whenever a = b, the entire expression is zero, so the lemma is shown for  $p \ge 1$ .

Now taking  $p \leq -1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left[ f_p(a,b) \right] &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left[ \frac{1}{f_{-p}(1/a,1/b)} \right] \\ &= \frac{2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ f_{-p}(1/a,1/b) \right] \right)^2 - f_{-p}(1/a,1/b) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left[ f_{-p}(1/a,1/b) \right]}{\left( f_{-p}(1/a,1/b) \right)^3} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $-p \ge 1$ , we have  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} [f_{-p}(1/a, 1/b)] \le 0$  with equality if and only if a = b. It is easily seen that the second partial is nonnegative for  $p \le -1$  with equality only where a = b, as desired.  $\Box$ 

It follows from the lemma that  $f_2(a,b) - f_1(a,b) \ge \frac{\partial}{\partial p} [f_p(a,b)]$  for all  $p \ge 2$ . But

$$\frac{(a-b)^2}{4(a+b)} \geq f_2(a,b) - f_1(a,b)$$

$$\frac{3a^2 + 2ab + 3b^2}{4(a+b)} \geq \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}}$$

$$3a^2 + 2ab + 3b^2 \geq (a+b)\sqrt{8a^2 + 8b^2}$$

$$(3a^2 + 2ab + 3b^2)^2 \geq (a+b)^2(8a^2 + 8b^2)$$

$$9a^4 + 12a^3b + 22a^2b^2 + 12ab^3 + 9b^4 \geq 8a^4 + 16a^3b + 16a^2b^2 + 16ab^3 + 8b^4$$

$$a^4 - 4a^3b + 6a^2b^2 - 4ab^3 + b^4 = (a-b)^4 \geq 0$$

Thus, for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle \frac{(1+k)(a-b)^2 + 8ab}{4(a+b)} & = & f_1(a,b) + (k-1)\left(\frac{(a-b)^2}{4(a+b)}\right) \\ \\ & \geq & f_2(a,b) + \int_2^k \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[f_p(a,b)\right] dp \\ \\ & = & f_k(a,b) \end{array}$$

Now we establish the claim for k = 3/2. For convenience, put  $a = x^2, b = y^2$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(a-b)^2}{4(a+b)} &\leq f_{3/2}(a,b) - f_1(a,b) \\ 5a^2 + 6ab + 5b^2 &\leq 8(a+b)\left(\frac{a^{3/2} + b^{3/2}}{2}\right)^{2/3} \\ 5x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + 5y^4 &\leq 8(x^2 + y^2)\left(\frac{x^3 + y^3}{2}\right)^{2/3} \\ 4\left(5x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + 5y^4\right)^3 &\leq 512(x^2 + y^2)^3(x^3 + y^3)^2 \\ 0 &\leq 512(x^2 + y^2)^3(x^3 + y^3)^2 - 4\left(5x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + 5y^4\right)^3 \\ 0 &\leq 4(x-y)^6(3x^6 + 18x^5y - 3x^4y^2 + 28x^3y^3 - 3x^2y^4 + 18xy^5 + 3y^6) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\frac{\frac{5}{2}(a-b)^2+8ab}{4(a+b)} \leq f_{3/2}(a,b)$  and (\*) holds in the reverse direction for k = 3/2. But recall that equality holds identically in (\*) for k = 1. Hence, since  $f_k(a,b)$  is concave in k for  $k \in [1,3/2]$  while the left-hand side of (\*) is linear in k, it follows that (\*) holds in the reverse direction for  $1 \leq k \leq 3/2$ , as claimed.

Observe that because (\*) is homogenous and symmetric with respect to a and b, to prove the claim for  $k \leq -1$  it suffices to prove the case b = 1. We shall check that  $\frac{(a-1)^2}{4(a+1)} \geq \frac{\partial}{\partial p} [f_p(a,1)]\Big|_{p=-1}$ . Calculating the derivative on the right, this is equivalent to

$$\frac{(a-1)^2}{4(a+1)} \ge \frac{2a\left(a\ln(a) + (a+1)\ln\left(\frac{2}{a+1}\right)\right)}{(a+1)^2}$$

We will show that the function G(a) given by

$$G(a) := (a+1)(a-1)^2 - 8a\left(a\ln(a) + (a+1)\ln\left(\frac{2}{a+1}\right)\right)$$

is nonnegative for all  $a \ge 1$ . In showing this, the following will be of interest:

$$G_1(a) = G'(a) = (a-1)(3a+1) - 16a\ln(a) - 8(2a+1)\ln\left(\frac{2}{a+1}\right)$$

$$G_2(a) = G''(a) = \frac{2}{a+1} \cdot \left((a-1)(3a+5) - 8(a+1)\ln\left(\frac{2a}{a+1}\right)\right)$$

$$G_3(a) = G'''(a) = \frac{2(a-1)(3a^2+9a+8)}{a(a+1)^2}$$

Clear, a = 1 is a zero of  $G(a), G_1(a)$  and  $G_2(a)$ . Now suppose there exists a positive real  $c_0$  other than 1 such that  $G(c_0) = 1$ . Then by Rolle's theorem, there exists a number  $c_1$  strictly between  $c_0$  and 1 such that  $G_1(c_1) = 0$ . Since  $G_1(1) = 0$ , by the same principle there must exist  $c_2$  strictly between  $c_1$  and 1 such that  $G_2(c_2) = 0$ . Likewise, there exists  $c_3$  strictly between  $c_2$  and 1

MATHEMATICAL REFLECTIONS 2, (2006)

such that  $G_3(c_3) = 0$ . Since by inspection a = 1 is the unique real root of  $G_3(a) = 0$ ,  $g_3$  cannot exist, which is a contradiction. Hence, a = 1 is the unique root of G(a) = 0. It is easily seen that  $\lim_{a\to 0^+} G(a) = 1$  and that G(a) grows unbounded as a tends to infinity. Hence, by the intermediate value theorem, G(a) can never be negative.

Combining this fact with the lemma, we deduce that  $\frac{(a-b)^2}{4(a+b)} \geq \frac{\partial}{\partial p} [f_p(a,b)]$  for all  $p \leq -1$ . Therefore,

$$0 \geq \int_{p}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ f_{p}(a,b) \right] - \frac{(a-b)^{2}}{4(a+b)} \right) dp$$
  
$$= f_{-1}(a,b) - f_{p}(a,b) + \frac{(p+1)(a-b)^{2}}{4(a+b)}$$
  
$$f_{p}(a,b) \geq \frac{(1+p)(a-b)^{2} + 8ab}{4(a+b)}$$

completing the proof of proposition 1.  $\dagger$ 

Although we do not show it here, the difference between the two sides behaves asymptotically as  $C_1(a-b)^4$  near equality, excepting in the case k = 3/2, where the difference converges to 0 as  $C_2(a-b)^6$ .

Can the proposition be generalized to n variables? While it may be possible to conjecture a generalized radical-free expression, in the author's opinion a proof will likely be considerably more difficult. In particular, there does not exist a lower bound P such that the arbitrary power mean  $g_p(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \left(\frac{a_1^p + \cdots + a_n^p}{n}\right)^{1/p}$  satisfies  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} [g_p(a_1, \ldots, a_n)] \leq 0$  for all  $p \geq P$ . Consider the sequence  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  given by  $x_i = \frac{i}{n}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Employing a Riemann sum, we write

$$\psi_{\lambda}(p) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_p(x_1^{\lambda}, \dots, x_n^{\lambda}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[p]{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda p}}{n}} = \left(\int_0^1 x^{\lambda p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (1+\lambda p)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ \psi_{\lambda}(p) \right] &= \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \ln(1 + \lambda p) - \frac{\lambda}{p(1 + \lambda p)} \right) \psi_{\lambda}(p) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left[ \psi_{\lambda}(p) \right] &= \left( \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \ln(1 + \lambda p) - \frac{\lambda}{p(1 + \lambda p)} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{p^3} \ln(1 + \lambda p) + \frac{2\lambda + 3\lambda^2 p}{p^2(1 + \lambda p)^2} \right) \psi_{\lambda}(p) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for a given interval (a, b),  $\psi_{\lambda}(p)$  becomes convex with respect to  $p \in (a, b)$  for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ , since one easily checks that the dominant term in the second partial is  $\frac{1}{p^4} (\log(1 + \lambda p))^2$ . A generalization to n variables would therefore require at least one novel idea to circumvent the collapse of lemma 1. It remains to be seen whether this is feasible.

MATHEMATICAL REFLECTIONS 2, (2006)